Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/667,714	BELADY, CHRISTIAN L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ivan H. Carpio	2841
All Participants:	Status of Application);
(1) <u>Ivan H. Carpio</u> .	(3)	
(2) John Kalnay (applicant's representative).	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 1 March 2007	Time: <u>10:00am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-33 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Of Discussed amending claims 22, 24 and 28 in an examiner are written in detail in the attached office action.		
Part III.		,
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sum did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum did not result in resolution. 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	olicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		O